



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,321	01/10/2002	Christopher M. Morrissey	AU920010913US1	1241	
7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER			
Frank C. Nicholas			KANG, INSUN		
CARDINAL L Suite 2000	AW GROUP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1603 Orrington Avenue			2193		
Evanston, IL 60201			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/045,321	MORRISSEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Insun Kang	2193	

	Insun Kang	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) is e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other eviden n compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mai b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropri riginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contour (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a contour contour contours. 	nsideration and/or search (see Nw); ter form for appeal by materially	OTE below); reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-G	Compliant Amendment (
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ 'ided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a I sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ied.
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: 			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant argues that Laviolette does not disclose that the test system description is associated with a functioning system in the distributed network environment and Lavilolette does not disclose anything relating to functioning systems. In response, the claim broadly recites that the test system description is associated with a functioning system without specifically describing what the functioning system is. Therefore, any system that produces any type of action or functionality can be considered as a functioning system. Laviolette discloses functioning systems such as a test station for testing target software to be tested (col. 6 lines 38-62) and a software test system that provides selectability of test station configuration data test station configuration data...different target software to be tested on at least one of the plurality of test stations and different gest software capable of testing the selected target software to be tested (abstract). If applicant means anything more, this must be brought out in the claims to further clarify the invention..

IK 12/1/2005

> TODD INGBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER